JAN 0 7 2004

GARVEY, SMITH, NEHRBASS & DOODY, L.L.C.
THREE LAKEWAY CENTER
3838 N CAUSEWAY BLVD, SUITE 3290
METAIRIE, LA 70002
(504) 835-2000
FAX NO.: (504) 835-2070
FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

**RECIPIENT: USPTO** 

FAX NO.: 571-273-1450

SENDER: Greg Smith

DATE: January 7, 2004

NUMBER OF PAGES, INCLUDING THIS ONE:

MESSAGE:

RE: Your Reference: U.S. S.N. 09/914.402

As you requested, I am faxing a copy of the office action dated April 18, 2003, for which we had filed a response. Thank you.

IF THERE ARE ANY PROBLEMS WITH TRANSMISSION, PLEASE CONTACT ME AT 504-835-2000.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

ONITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Distud States Patent and Tradmonth Office Address Commissioner of Patents and Trademark Westington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
 CONEIRMATION NO.

 09/914,402
 07/22/2002
 Robert R. Holcomb
 A96158US (32831/11US)
 5120

22920

....

04/18/2003

GARVEY SMITH NEHRBASS & DOODY, LLC THREE LAKEWAY CENTER 3838 NORTH CAUSEWAY BLVD., SUITE 3290 METAIRIE, LA 70002

EXAMINER
MANOHARAN, VIRGINIA

ART UNIT

32831.11

1764 DATE MAILED: 04/18/2003

99615843

PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RELEIVED
Garvey, Smith
Nehrbass & Doody

APR 2 2 2003

Docket by
Action
Due date

Lik 03

APK 2 2 2005

Ostivey, Smith Nehroese & Doody

(his

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Antine Courses	09/914,402	HOLCOMB, ROBERT R.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Virginia Mancharan	1764					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extractions of fings may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply it is post find above, the maximum statutory period we follow to reply with the set or extended period for roply rid, by statuto, Any reply received by the Office later than throw months offer the mailing comed painting the set of the set of the mailing comed painting the set of t	(5(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	ely filed s will be considered timoly, the mailing date of this conuncication.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 C	October 2002 .						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.						
Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under to Disposition of Claims	nce except for formal matters, pr Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.					
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.	•						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	m from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept	ted or b) objected to by the Exan	niner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapprov	ved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	ly documents have been received						
application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list o	eau (PCT Rule 17 2(a))	<del>-</del>					
14)[☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional application).					
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic</li> </ul>	risional application has been rece priority under 35 U.S.C. && 120	ived. and/or 121					
Attachment(s)		,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTC-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC-946) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC-1449) Paper No(s)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
	ion Summary	Part of Paper No. 7					

Page 2

The references cited in the Search Report filed March 18, 2002 have been considered, but will not be listed on any patent resulting from this application because they were not provided on a separate list in compliance with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1). In order to have the references printed on such resulting patent, a separate listing, preferably on a PTO-1449 form, must be filed within the set period for reply to this Office action

This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required. The PCT abstract does not suffice.

The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors e.g., typographical, grammar, idiomatic, syntax and etc. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- a. There are no proper antecedent basis for supports in the claims for the following recitations:
- "incoming water to the system" (not initially recited in the base claim), claim 7, line 3;
  - 2. "the process water", claim 8, line 1;

Page 3

- 3. "the incoming water line", claim 12, line 2;
- 4. "the counter current exchanger", claim 15, line 2;
- 5. "the "preheat heat exchanger", claim 18, lines 3-4;
- 6. "the feedwater preheat heat exchanger", claim 19, line 4;
- "the system" and "the computer processor", claim 20, line 3 and line 4 respectively; and
- 8. "the unit", claim 21, line 1,
- b. It is unclear what "water" is being referred to in claim 3, line 2, i.e., whether the degassed water, the superheated degassed water or the condensed water that is being pumped through the mineral column and carbon column? See claim 1.
- c. The used of quotation marks such as: "preheat"; "high side"; and "off taste" in the claims is improper. See e.g., claims 11-12 and 18-19.
- d. The parenthetical statement in a claim is improper as every feature recited in a claim becomes a part of the overall subject matter. By placing terms in parenthesis renders the claims ambiguous as to whether or not these phrases should be disregarded. See e.g., the recitation in claim 18 of (or gas heated or other energy source). Also what constitute the "other energy source" within the context of the claimed invention.

Page 4

- e. In claim 9, "the water" should be the vaporized water or the water vapor since "water" would presupposed that it is already in the condensed state. See also claims 10 and 13.
- f. It is unclear whether the claimed "a system" in claim 16 is the same or different from a system initially recited in the base claim 13.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-19 and 21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over World '419 in view of Arbogast.

The WORLD '419 discloses in page 7, lines 1-38, the method and means for heating degassed water, for injecting the degassed water into an evaporator, and for condensing and cooling the water as claimed. To inject the heated degassed water into a vacuum chamber in order to superheat the water and to allow the superheated water to vaporize in an explosive manner (flash evaporate) as claimed is a known expediency in the art as taught by Arbogast. It would have been obvious to to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate Arbogast 's teaching above to the process and means of World'419 inasmuch as Arbogast suggests in the abstract that the superheating process destroys

Page 5

bacterial impurities, and the flashing removes and vents entrapped gaseous contaminants.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over World '419 in view of Arbogast as applied to claims 1-19 and 21 above, and further in view of Davis et al.

To further provide a backwash system in the process and means of World '419, modified by Arbogast, that is timed and sequenced by a computer processor would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art inasmuch as Davis et al teaches in column 70, lines 32-51, that it is known to control a backwash system by a computer.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- a. Pottharst, Jr. discloses a multi-effect flash evaporator.
- b. Hayashi et al discloses a distilling apparatus.
- c. Topper, Masero and Wang et al. all disclose a distillation water apparatus.
  - d. Wijn discloses distillation process under vacuum.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Virginia Manoharan whose telephone number is 703-308-3844. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7:30 am to 6:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/914,402

Art Unit: 1764

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 703-308-4311. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9462 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

V. Manoharan/mn April 11, 2003

Vin AL

4/4/03

Notice of References Cited				Reexa		Reexamina	ant(s)/Patent Under mination OMB, ROBERT R.	
	nouse of References ones			1		Art Unit 1764	Page 1 of 1	
			U.S. PA	TENT DOCUM	***************************************			
*	Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	1		Name		Classification	
A	US-3,648,438	03-1972	Arbogast, Alva G.				96/195	
В	US-3,844,767 \-	10-1974	Rheinlander, Paul			75/460		
С	US-4,427,495	01-1984	Masero, Kenneth J.				203/11	
D	US-4,863,568	09-1989	Wijn, Enno F.				202/185.2	
E	US-4,953,694	09-1990	Hayashi et al.				202/180	
F	US-5,227,027	07-1993	Topper, Robert T.				203/10	
G	US-6,010,599	01-2000	Wang et	al.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		202/205	
н	US-3,884,767	05-1975	Potthars	t, Jr., John E	202/174			
1	US-		l****					
J	US-							
к	US-		1				-	
L	US-				· / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
М	US-							
			FOREIGN	PATENT DOC	UMENTS		1	
<u> </u>	Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Co	ountry	Name		Classification	
N	94/02419	02-1994	World		Tajer-Ardebili			
10								
P						****		
Q								
R								
5								
Т								
η	<u> </u>			TENT DOCUM				
	trictude as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Perlinent Pages)							
U								
+						<del></del>		
V								
w							3	
1	•							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-892 (Rev. 01-2001)

Natice of References Cited

Part of Paper No. 7